

REMARKS

Claim 21 has been amended to clarify its relationship with claim 35. No change of substance in intended since it is submitted that on a proper reading of the claim as originally filed it is clear that the linkage of the A and B moieties through X could either be through a single bond or through a chemical group (now referred to as a moiety for consistency with the language in the claim).

Claim 21 has also been amended to specify the location of the linking bond or moiety. Support for this definition is found in formulae III and IV on page 5 of the specification.

Claims 36, 37, 38 and 40 have been amended for internal consistency by replacing the reference to "animal" by one to "mammal".

It is submitted that the rejections under 35 USC 112 have now been met and that the application is now in order for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

John Richards
LADAS & PARRY LLP
26 West 61st. Street
New York, New York 10023
Reg. 31053
Tel. (212) 708-1915